Online Course Quality: Tune Up Your Course with the Online Course Quick Check

Bill Phillips
Susan Scott Ricci



Define Online Course Quality

Using the following criteria:

- Objectives
- Content/Activities
- Interaction
- Assessment
- Support



Quality.....can be elusive

- Student satisfaction
- Standards/Metrics
- Reflective practice
- Compare to F2F
- Enrollment/retention
- Rigor
- Student and course dynamics



Using the 'Quick Lube' analogy

- 'Drive your course in,
- Pop the hood,
- Replace or install new parts or tools, or strategies,
- Raise the measure of quality
- Key words: Quick and essential



Course Nuances

"...as seasoned online course practitioners know, there are countless nuances that distinguish one course from another (and, for that matter, that distinguish one semester's offering of a course from another semester's offering of the same course)," (Thompson, 2008).



Questions?

- What brought you to this seminar?
- How do you view online course quality?
- What metrics would you use to measure?
- Do you have effective key components?
- Does teacher presence influence quality?
- How does course design contribute to overall course quality?
- And how is all of this reflected in Canvas?
- Simply put: What about you? When you think of quality – single most important factor?



Objectives

 We begin with your course objectives. We feel strongly, as does the literature support, a high quality learning environment should be based on solid, student-centered, and measurable learning objectives. Canvas does offer the Outcomes tool that you might want to consider using to help measure your objectives.



Content/Activities

- Modules, publisher content, studentgenerated content, multimedia
- Does the content support student learning and align with course objectives?
- FCTL/Provost's Syllabus Model
- Assignment tool in Canvas supports many varied approaches to activities
- UCF's Materia or third-party tools



Interaction

- Interaction, Michael Moore (1993), offering varied and repetitive interaction between the learner, content and instructor.
- Canvas Discussions, Conversations, Feedback (Surveys), Conferences and Collaborations.
- Analytics to gauge your interaction.



Assessments

- Defined alignment: assessments to objectives
- Quiz tool for assessment
- Assignment tools; Discussions and Collaboration



Support: Last, not least!

- Start and finish points?
- Timely communication and grading?
- Technology support?
- Does the technology work?
- Optimum usability?
- Clear routine or pace?
- Organization
- Support is the key to 'running smoothly'



Susan Scott Ricci Women's Health Issues (NSP4425)





Susan Scott Ricci Women's Health Issues (NSP4425)



- Objectives
- Content/Activities
- Interaction
- Assessment
- Support



Advice for Moving Forward

- If possible, DIY or use a trusted colleague to 'peer review' your online course,
- KISS Keep it Simple Starting
- Or Lean on Your Instructional Designer for your Course Quick Check – compare notes
- Create a schedule for improvement



The Online Course Quick Check

- Drive in....let us check under the hood
- Objectives
- Content/Activities
- Interaction
- Assessment
- Support
- Find it online or contact your ID



Questions?

- Seminar Resources:
 - http://bit.ly/online_quickcheck
 - Seminar archive
 - Supporting resources
 - The Online Course Quick Check: Link
 - Bill Phillips, <u>William.Phillips@ucf.edu</u>
 - Susan Scott Ricci <u>Susan.Ricci@ucf.edu</u>



References

- Moore, M. G. (1993). Three types of interaction. In K. Harry, M. John & D. Keegan (Eds.), *Distance education: New perspectives* (pp. 12-24). London: Routlege.
- Thompson, K.
- What Is Online Course Quality? http://ofcoursesonline.com/wp-content/uploads/2008/06/thompson_onlinecoursequality.pdf
- Canvas Guides:
- Chickering, A. W., & Ehrmann, S. C. (1996). Implementing the seven principles:
 Technology as lever. AAHE Bulletin, 49 (2), 3-6.
- Chickering, A. W., & Gamson, Z. F. (March 1987). Seven principles of good practice in undergraduate education. *AAHE Bulletin*, *39* (7), 3-7.
- Coppola, N. W., Hiltz, S. R., & Rotter, N. G. (2004). Building trust in virtual teams. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 47(2).
- Teaching at UCF, UCF Karen Smith Faculty Center for Teaching and Learning: http://www.fctl.ucf.edu/FacultySuccess/SemesterEssentials/content/teaching_at_ucf.
 pdf

